

Malpractice policy (Exams)

North Leamington School

Malpractice policy (Exams)

Centre name	North Leamington School
Centre number	31155
Date policy first created	28/02/2024
Current policy approved by	Michelle Foy
Current policy reviewed by	Michelle Foy
Date of review	06/10/2025
Date of next review	31/10/2026

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of centre	Michael Lowdell
Senior leader(s)	Michelle Foy (DHT)
Exams officer	Julie Thatcher
Other staff (if applicable)	Year Leads, Senior Lead Team and Staff delivering NEA

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at North Leamington School is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice**: **Policies and Procedures**.

Introduction

What are malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are distinct but related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification

which:

- · gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- · compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

Candidate malpractice

'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre, such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

Centre malpractice

'Centre malpractice' normally involves malpractice where there is an element of systemic failure, a breach in policies or widespread malpractice such that a centre-level sanction is appropriate (SMPP 2)

Suspected malpractice

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 1.9). (SMPP 2)

Purpose of the policy

To confirm North Leamington School:

has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which
covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to
avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be
escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use

of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

General principles

In accordance with the regulations North Leamington School will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice
 (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document Suspected Malpractice Policies and Procedures and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably
 require (GR 5.11)

Preventing malpractice

North Leamington School has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
 - General Regulations for Approved Centres 2025-2026
 - Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2025-2026
 - Instructions for conducting coursework 2025-2026
 - Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2025-2026
 - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2025-2026
 - A guide to the special consideration process 2025-2026
 - Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2025-2026 (this document)
 - Plagiarism in Assessments
 - · Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
 - Post Results Services June 2025 and November 2025
 - A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2025-2026
 - · Guidance for centres on cyber security

(SMPP 3.2)

Additional information:

Not applicable

Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments

- Students are informed of malpractice through assemblies (conducted by Year Lead and SLT) and tutor times focussed on examinations/NEA.
- These assemblies include the use of AI, detailing what it is, when and how it may be used and if used, how it should be referenced together the consequences of using AI improperly.
- JCQ posters and presentations are used in these assemblies and tutor time sessions.
- Teachers delivering NEA also remind students of these expectations.
- Information from JCQ can also be found on the Exams page of our website for students to refer to.

Al use in assessments

AI USE AND TOOLS

.

Al use in this context refers to the use of Al tools to obtain information and content which might be used in work produced for assessments, which contributes to the award of qualifications.

Al misuse is where a student has used one or more Al tools but has not appropriately acknowledged this use and has submitted work for assessment when it is not their own.

When properly referenced, the use of AI can be acceptable, although students cannot be credited for any work they produce for assessment that is not their own so the benefit to them using AI is likely to be limited and they risk committing malpractice if AI is misused.

Staff working with students on a regular basis and are familiar with their ability and standard of work are usually best placed to make determinations about the misuse of AI tools. Through using internal assessment practice such as moderation of work and grading, the subject teacher will use a range of strategies to mitigate any misuse.

Suspected use

of AI should follow the internal policies of the NLS internal behaviour policy.

Al is part of

our digital literacy curriculum in school about how they are educated.

With reference to the JCQ guidance for Teachers & Assessors - Al Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications:

Students complete the majority of their exams and a large number of other assessments under close staff supervision with limited access to authorised materials and no permitted access to the internet. The delivery of these assessments should be unaffected by developments in Al tools as students must not be able to use such tools when completing these assessments.

There are some assessments in which access to the internet is permitted in the preparatory, research or production stages. The majority of these assessments will be Non-Examined Assessments (NEAs), coursework and internal assessments for General Qualifications (GQs) and Vocational & Technical Qualifications (VTQs). JCQ's guidance which is designed to help students and teachers to complete NEAs, coursework and other internal assessments successfully is followed in relation to these assessments.

If students are found to be using AI inappropriately, against the advice given to students, based on JCQ guidelines, the following

Internal procedures will be followed-

- The student will meet with SLT in Charge of Examinations, or a colleague designated by them, to discuss findings.
- The students parent/carer will be informed.
- NLS internal behaviour policy, as appropriate, will be followed.
 - If appropriate, the student will be given resources/time/a further opportunity to replace the work.
- JCQ regulations will be followed, if the student is found to have used AI inappropriately, after signing the declaration that the work is their own.
 - If the student is found to have utilised AI on a subsequent occasion, the school reserves the right to remove the student

From the relevant qualification(s) to protect the integrity of the centre.

Identification and reporting of malpractice

Escalating suspected malpractice issues

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the

appropriate channels. (SMPP 4.3)

If a member of staff suspects malpractice, they should inform their line manager and ensure this is report to the Exams Officer or SLT member in charge of exams. They will then investigate in line with JCQ procedures and discuss with the Head of Centre who will report as appropriate.

Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or
 actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and
 gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document Suspected
 Malpractice: Policies and Procedures (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Candidate malpractice offences relating to the content of work (i.e. inappropriate/offensive content, copying/collusion, plagiarism (including AI misuse) and/or false declaration of authentication) which are discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication, do not need to be reported to the awarding body. Instead, they will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures.
 - Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment where the offence does not relate to the content of candidates' work (e.g. possession of unauthorised materials, breach of assessment conditions) or where a candidate has signed the declaration of authentication, must be reported using a JCQ M1 to the relevant awarding body. If, at the time of the malpractice, there is no entry for that candidate (who the centre intended to enter), the centre is required to submit an entry by the required entry deadline. (SMPP 4.5)
- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence that an individual may have committedmalpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of all the required information and the accused individual informed of their rights and responsibilities (SMPP 5.33-3.4)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report to the relevant awarding body summarising the information obtained and actions taken, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Additional information:

n/a

Communicating malpractice decisions

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they

have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

Additional information:

Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice

North Leamington School will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ document **A guide to the** awarding bodies' appeals processes

Additional information:

Changes 2025/2026

(Added) New heading Centre malpractice added.

(Added) Under heading **Preventing malpractice** added to the list of JCQ documents.

(Added/amended) Under heading AI use in assessments:

- additional/amended text added in bullet points to reflect slight changes in SMPP
- optional insert field added referencing the JCQ document Information for candidates AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments) or similar centre document.

(Amended) Under heading **Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body** text amended to reflect wording changes/additions in SMPP.

Centre-specific changes

October 2025 - no center changes were identified